

# Evolution & Learning in Games

Econ 243B

Jean-Paul Carvalho

## Lecture 4: Evolution in Games

# The Evolutionary Approach

Evolutionary game theory is the study of:

- ▶ **boundedly rational**
- ▶ **populations** of agents,
- ▶ who may (or may not) **evolve** or **learn** their way into equilibrium,
- ▶ by **gradually revising**
- ▶ **simple, myopic** rules of behavior.

# Population Games

- ▶ Number of agents is large,
- ▶ Individual agents are small,
- ▶ Anonymous interaction,
- ▶ The number of 'roles' is finite,
  - ▶ each agent is a member of one of a finite number of populations.
  - ▶ members of a population have identical strategy sets and payoff functions.
- ▶ Payoffs are continuous in the population state (sometimes require continuous differentiability  $C^1$ ).

# Population Games

## Players

- ▶ The population is a set of agents (possibly a continuum).

## Strategies

- ▶ The set of (pure) strategies is  $S = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with typical members  $i, j$  and  $s$ .
- ▶ The mass of agents choosing strategy  $i$  is  $m_i$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m$ .
- ▶ Let  $x_i = \frac{m_i}{m}$  denote the proportion of players choosing strategy  $i \in S$ .

# Population Games

## Population States

- ▶ The set of population states (or strategy distributions) is  $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \sum_{i \in S} x_i = 1\}$ .
- ▶  $X$  is the unit simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- ▶ The set of vertices of  $X$  are the pure population states—those in which all agents choose the same strategy.
- ▶ These are the standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots), e_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots), e_3 = (0, 0, 1, \dots), \dots$$

## Payoffs

- ▶ A *continuous* payoff function  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  assigns to each population state a vector of payoffs, consisting of a real number for each strategy.
- ▶  $F_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes the payoff function for strategy  $i$ .

## Equivalence to Mixed Strategies

Consider random matching to play a *two-player* game:

	1	2	...	$n$
$i$	$u(i, 1)$	$u(i, 2)$	...	$u(i, n)$

The expected payoff to strategy  $i$  in state  $x$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}F_i(x) &= x_1u(i, 1) + x_2u(i, 2) \dots + x_nu(i, n) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_ju(i, j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n x_jF_i(e_j),\end{aligned}$$

which depends *linearly* on the population state.

*Note:* There are many contexts in which agents' payoffs depend 'directly' on the strategies of all other players.

## Nash Equilibria of Population Games

$x^*$  is a Nash equilibrium of the population game if

$$(x^* - x)'F(x^*) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

- ▶ **Monomorphic equilibria:**  $x^* = e_i$ .
- ▶ **Polymorphic equilibria:**  $x^* \neq e_i$  for some  $i \in S$ ; requires  $F_i(x^*) = F_j(x^*) \geq F_k(x^*)$  for all  $i, j$  in support of  $x^*$  and  $k$  not in the support of  $x^*$ .

**Theorem.** Every population game with a continuum of agents admits at least one Nash equilibrium.

—*Proved in the usual way using Kakutani's fixed point theorem.*

## Average Population Payoffs

The **average payoff** in the population is:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}(x) &= x_1F_1(x) + x_2F_2(x) \dots + x_nF_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_iF_i(x).\end{aligned}$$

*Note:* this is the same as the payoff from playing the mixed strategy  $x$  against itself.



## Evolutionary Game Theory: The Biological Approach

- ▶ Game theory was initially developed by mathematicians and economists.
- ▶ Evolutionary biologists adapted these techniques/concepts in developing evolutionary game theory—see for e.g. the pioneering work of British biologist John Maynard Smith. EGT was later imported back into economics.
- ▶ Owing to this intellectual history, and because social scientific approaches share some deep similarities with the biological approach, we shall start by reviewing the basic biological approach to evolution.

# The Biological Approach

Ingredients:

## 1. Inheritance:

- ▶ Players are *programmed* with a strategy. (Players are essentially strategies.)

## 2. Selection:

- ▶ Strategies that do better, given what everyone else is doing, proliferate.
- ▶ In particular, payoffs are interpreted as *reproduction rates* of strategies.
- ▶ Extends Darwin's notion of survival of the fittest from an exogenous environment to an interactive setting.

## 3. Mutation:

- ▶ Equilibrium states can be perturbed by random shocks.
- ▶ To be *stable*, an equilibrium must be resistant to invasion by "mutant strategies".

## The Replicator Dynamic

- ▶ Suppose that payoffs represent *fitness* (rates of reproduction) and reproduction takes place in continuous time.
- ▶ This yields a continuous-time evolutionary dynamic called the **replicator dynamic** (Taylor and Jonker 1978).
- ▶ The replicators here are pure strategies that are copied without error from parent to child.
  - ▶ As the population state  $x$  changes, so do the payoffs and thereby the fitness of each strategy.
- ▶ The replicator dynamic is formalized as a (deterministic) system of ordinary differential equations without mutation.

## The Replicator Dynamic

- ▶ Let the rate of growth of strategy  $i$  be:

$$\frac{\dot{m}_i}{m_i} = [\beta - \delta + F_i(x)],$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  are “background” birth and death rates (which are independent of payoffs).

- ▶ This is the interpretation of payoffs as fitness (reproduction rates) in biological models of evolution.

## Derivation

What is the rate of growth in strategy  $i$ 's population share  $x_i$ ?

By definition:

$$\begin{aligned}x_i &= \frac{m_i}{m} \\ \ln(x_i) &= \ln(m_i) - \ln(m) \\ \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i} &= \frac{\dot{m}_i}{m_i} - \frac{\dot{m}}{m} \\ &= \frac{\dot{m}_i}{m_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\dot{m}_j}{m} \\ &= \frac{\dot{m}_i}{m_i} - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{m_j}{m_j} \frac{\dot{m}_j}{m} \\ &= [\beta - \delta + F_i(x)] - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [\beta - \delta + F_j(x)] \\ &= F_i(x) - \bar{F}(x).\end{aligned}$$

That is, the growth rate of a strategy equals the excess of its payoff over the average payoff.

## Some Properties of the Replicator Dynamic

The following results are immediate:

- ▶ Those subpopulations that are associated with better than average payoffs grow and *vice versa*.
- ▶ The subpopulations associated with pure best replies to the current population state  $x \in X$  have the highest growth rate.
- ▶ Support invariance:  $\dot{x}_i = x_i[F_i(x) - \bar{F}(x)]$ , so that if  $m_i = 0$  at  $T$ , then  $m_i = 0$  for all  $t$ .

## Relative Growth Rates

The ratio of any two population shares  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  increases (resp. decreases) over time if strategy  $i$  earns a higher (resp. lower) payoff than strategy  $j$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \frac{x_i}{x_j} \right] &= \frac{\dot{x}_i x_j - x_i \dot{x}_j}{x_j x_j} \\ &= \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_j} - \frac{\dot{x}_j}{x_j} \frac{x_i}{x_j} \\ &= \frac{x_i}{x_j} \left[ \frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i} - \frac{\dot{x}_j}{x_j} \right] \\ &= \frac{x_i}{x_j} \left[ F_i(x) - \bar{F}(x) - (F_j(x) - \bar{F}(x)) \right] \\ &= \frac{x_i}{x_j} \left[ F_i(x) - F_j(x) \right].\end{aligned}$$

## Invariance under Payoff Transformations

Suppose the payoff function  $F_i(x)$  is replaced by a positive affine transformation:

$$G_i(x) = \alpha + \gamma F_i(x).$$

EXERCISE: Show that the replicator dynamic is invariant to such a change, modulo a change of timescale.

In particular, show that:

$$\frac{\dot{x}_i}{x_i} = \gamma[F_i(x) - \bar{F}(x)].$$



## Example: Pure Coordination

### Pure Coordination

	1	2
1	1	0
2	0	2

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(3x_1 - 2).$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$  iff  $3x_1 > 2$  or  $x_1 > \frac{2}{3}$ .

## Example: Impure Coordination

### Stag Hunt

	1	2
1	2, 2	0, 0
2	0, 2	3, 3

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(3x_1 - 1).$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$  iff  $3x_1 > 1$  or  $x_1 > \frac{1}{3}$ .

## Example: Anti-Coordination

### Hawk Dove

	1	2
1	-2	0
2	4	0

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = (1 - x_1)(4 - 6x_1).$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} > 0$  iff  $6x_1 < 4$  or  $x_1 < \frac{2}{3}$ .

## Example: Prisoners' Dilemma

PD

	1	2
1	3, 3	0, 5
2	5, 0	1, 1

$$\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} = -(1 - x_1^2).$$

Therefore,  $\frac{\dot{x}_1}{x_1} < 0$  for all  $x_1 < 1$ .

# The Iterated Prisoners' Dilemma

- ▶ When matched, two players engage in a series of PD games.
- ▶ The engagement ends after the current round with probability  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ . We call this the *stopping probability*.
- ▶ Consider a population in which three strategies are present:
  - ▶ C—always cooperate,
  - ▶ D—always defect,
  - ▶ T—tit-for-tat, i.e. start by cooperating, thenceforth cooperate in period  $t$  if partner cooperated in  $t - 1$ .

## Expected Payoffs Within Each Pairing

	$C$	$D$	$T$
$C$	$\frac{3}{\delta}$	$0$	$\frac{3}{\delta}$
$D$	$\frac{5}{\delta}$	$\frac{1}{\delta}$	$4 + \frac{1}{\delta}$
$T$	$\frac{3}{\delta}$	$\frac{1}{\delta} - 1$	$\frac{3}{\delta}$

*Note:*

Payoff from playing  $T$  against  $D$  is  $0 + (1 - \delta)\frac{1}{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta} - 1$ .

Payoff from playing  $D$  against  $T$  is  $5 + (1 - \delta)\frac{1}{\delta} = 4 + \frac{1}{\delta}$ .

## Expected Payoffs Over All Pairings

$$F_C(x) = (x_C + x_T)^{\frac{3}{\delta}}$$

$$F_D(x) = x_C^{\frac{5}{\delta}} + x_D^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + x_T(4 + \frac{1}{\delta})$$

$$F_T(x) = (x_C + x_T)^{\frac{3}{\delta}} + x_D(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1)$$

# Replicator Dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x_T \\ x_C \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{x_T}{x_C} (F_T(x) - F_C(x)) \\ &= \frac{x_T}{x_C} [x_D (\frac{1}{\delta} - 1)],\end{aligned}$$

which is positive because  $\delta < \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} x_T \\ x_D \end{bmatrix} &= \frac{x_T}{x_D} (F_T(x) - F_D(x)) \\ &= \frac{x_T}{x_D} \left[ -x_C \frac{2}{\delta} - x_D + \underbrace{x_T \left( \frac{2}{\delta} - 4 \right)}_{>0} \right],\end{aligned}$$

which is positive for  $x_T$  sufficiently large.



# Vector Field

