

Michael Ratz



15<sup>th</sup> Rencontres du Vietnam Cosmology 2019

Based on:

- W. Buchmüller, K. Hamaguchi, O. Lebedev & M.R. Nucl. Phys. B699, 292-308 (2004)
- B. Lillard, M.R., T. Tait & S. Trojanowski JCAP 1807 no. 07, 056 (2018)

in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent

### Overview

- in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent
- in particular, in string theory the gauge and Yukawa couplings are functions of so-called moduli

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$   
gauge coupling Yukawa coupling

### Overview

- in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent
- in particular, in string theory the gauge and Yukawa couplings are functions of so-called moduli

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$ 

moduli (SM singlets)

- Overview
  - in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent
  - in particular, in string theory the gauge and Yukawa couplings are functions of so-called moduli

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$ 

it is extremely hard to test this moduli-dependence at colliders

- Overview
  - in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent
  - in particular, in string theory the gauge and Yukawa couplings are functions of so-called moduli

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$ 

- it is extremely hard to test this moduli-dependence at colliders
- however, the existence of the moduli has dramatic impacts on the early universe

- Overview
  - in most more fundamental theories couplings are field-dependent
  - in particular, in string theory the gauge and Yukawa couplings are functions of so-called moduli

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$ 

- it is extremely hard to test this moduli-dependence at colliders
- however, the existence of the moduli has dramatic impacts on the early universe

#### purpose of this talk:

discuss moduli in the hot early universe

## Basic assumptions & results

consider field–dependent couplings

$$g = g(S)$$
 and  $y = y(S)$ 

### Basic assumptions & results

- consider field–dependent couplings
  - g = g(S) and y = y(S)
- S is weakly coupled: all couplings are suppressed by  $\Lambda$  (in strings often  $\Lambda \simeq M_P$ )

Outline

### Basic assumptions & results

- consider field–dependent couplings
  - g = g(S) and y = y(S)
- S is weakly coupled: all couplings are suppressed by  $\Lambda$  (in strings often  $\Lambda \simeq M_P$ )
- S is light (in strings often  $m_S \sim m_{3/2} \stackrel{?}{\sim} \text{TeV}$ )

gravitino mass

Outline

## Basic assumptions & results

- consider field–dependent couplings
  - g = g(S) and y = y(S)
- S is weakly coupled: all couplings are suppressed by  $\Lambda$  (in strings often  $\Lambda \simeq M_P$ )
- S is light (in strings often  $m_S \sim m_{3/2} \sim \text{TeV}$ )
- ${}^{\scriptsize\hbox{\tiny IMS}}$  relatively light, weakly coupled fields decay late  $\frown$  potential threat for cosmology

Outline

### Basic assumptions & results

- consider field–dependent couplings
  - g = g(S) and y = y(S)
- S is weakly coupled: all couplings are suppressed by  $\Lambda$  (in strings often  $\Lambda \simeq M_P$ )
- S is light (in strings often  $m_S \sim m_{3/2} \sim \text{TeV}$ )

 ${}^{\scriptsize\hbox{\tiny IMS}}$  relatively light, weakly coupled fields decay late  $\frown$  potential threat for cosmology

🖙 well-known gravitino problem

Outline

### Basic assumptions & results

- consider field–dependent couplings
  - g = g(S) and y = y(S)
- S is weakly coupled: all couplings are suppressed by  $\Lambda$  (in strings often  $\Lambda \simeq M_P$ )
- S is light (in strings often  $m_S \sim m_{3/2} \stackrel{?}{\sim} \text{TeV}$ )
- ${}^{\scriptsize\hbox{\tiny IMS}}$  relatively light, weakly coupled fields decay late  $\frown$  potential threat for cosmology
- 🖙 well-known gravitino problem
- moduli problem more model dependent but also typically more severe











### Main focus of this talk

will show that moduli move in such a way that couplings decrease



Outline

### Main focus of this talk

will show that moduli move in such a way that couplings decrease

$$g \xrightarrow{T \to \text{large}} 0$$
 and  $y \xrightarrow{T \to \text{large}} 0$ 

substantial change of couplings when

 $T \sim T_{\rm crit} = \sqrt{\Lambda m_S}$ 

## Thermal potential for moduli

 $\mathbb{S}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal} = \mathscr{F}_{thermal}$ 

free energy

### Thermal potential for moduli

 ${}^{\rasselimbox{\tiny \sc black}}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal}~=~\mathcal{F}$ 

 $\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$ 

temperature

## Thermal potential for moduli

 ${}^{\scriptsize\hbox{\tiny \sc bs}}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal}~=~\mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$$



## Thermal potential for moduli

 ${}^{\tiny \hbox{\tiny ISS}}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal}~=~\mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$$



## Thermal potential for moduli

 ${\tt \sc smallmatrix}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal}~=~\mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$$



### Thermal potential for moduli

$$\mathbb{R}$$
 main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}} = \mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{3}{196} (N_C^2 - 1) (N_C + 3N_F) \text{ for } SU(N_C) \text{ w/ } N_F \text{ fundamentals}$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_{gauge}^{(1)} = \alpha_2 g^2 T^4$$
  
$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_{Yukawa}^{(1)} = \frac{5 |y|^2}{576} T^4 \text{ per Weyl fermion}$$

### Thermal potential for moduli

 ${}^{\rasselimbox{\tiny \sc black}}$  main observation:  $\mathscr{V}_{thermal}~=~\mathcal{F}$ 

$$\mathscr{V}_{\text{thermal}}(S) = \mathscr{F}(g(S), y(S), T)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{non-interacting}} + \Delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{gauge}}^{(1)} + \Delta \mathcal{F}_{\text{Yukawa}}^{(1)} + O(g^3, y^3, g^2 y^2)$$

$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_{gauge}^{(1)} = \alpha_2 g^2 T^4$$
  
$$\Delta \mathcal{F}_{Yukawa}^{(1)} = \frac{5|y|^2}{576} T^4 \text{ per Weyl fermion}$$

- crucial: signs are positive
- ➡ free energy gets minimized for smaller couplings y and g





































































































# high temperature <sup>at</sup> Dilaton destabilization

Moduli at finite temperature

# Application 1: dilaton destabilization at high temperature

Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)

$${}^{\hspace*{-0.5ex}\scriptscriptstyle extsf{sex}}$$
 typical moduli potential:  $g^2=1/(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{S})$ 



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)


Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)



Buchmüller, Hamaguchi, Lebedev, and Ratz (2004)











#### Discussion

if the dilaton has been destabilized, it will run away and cannot come back



reheating temperature (maximal temperature of the radiation dominated universe)

#### Discussion

if the dilaton has been destabilized, it will run away and cannot come back

model-independent contraint:	
$T_R \lesssim T_* \sim \sqrt{m_S M_{ m P}}$	

model-dependent bounds on the energy density of the universe

Kallosh and Linde (2004)

#### Discussion

if the dilaton has been destabilized, it will run away and cannot come back

model-independent contraint:	
$T_R \lesssim T_* \sim \sqrt{m_S M_{ m P}}$	

model-dependent bounds on the energy density of the universe

Kallosh and Linde (2004)

the bounds can be circumvented by stabilizing the field combination that fixes the gauge coupling in a different way (i.e. w/ an infinite barrier)
Kane and Winkler (2019)



#### Field-dependent fermion masses

🖙 e.g. Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism

Froggatt and Nielsen (1979)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{FN}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{u} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{u}} \overline{Q}_{i} \widetilde{\Phi} u_{j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{d} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{d}} \overline{Q}_{i} \Phi d_{j} + \text{h.c.}$$
flavon

#### Field–dependent fermion masses

e.g. Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism

Froggatt and Nielsen (1979)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{FN}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{u} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{u}} \overline{Q}_{i} \widetilde{\Phi} u_{j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{d} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{d}} \overline{Q}_{i} \Phi d_{j} + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

potential

$$\mathscr{V}_{S} = -\mu_{S}^{2}|S|^{2} + \lambda_{S}|S|^{4} + \lambda_{S\Phi}|S|^{2}|\Phi|^{2} + U(1)_{FN} \text{ breaking terms}$$

#### Field–dependent fermion masses

e.g. Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism

Froggatt and Nielsen (1979)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{FN}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{u} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{u}} \overline{Q}_{i} \widetilde{\Phi} u_{j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{d} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{d}} \overline{Q}_{i} \Phi d_{j} + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

potential

$$\mathscr{V}_{\mathcal{S}} = -\mu_{\mathcal{S}}^2 |\mathcal{S}|^2 + \lambda_{\mathcal{S}} |\mathcal{S}|^4 + \lambda_{\mathcal{S}\Phi} |\mathcal{S}|^2 |\Phi|^2 + U(1)_{FN} \text{ breaking terms}$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 VEV at  $T = 0$ 

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{S} + \sigma + i\rho)$$

#### Field–dependent fermion masses

e.g. Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism

Froggatt and Nielsen (1979)

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{FN}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{u} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{u}} \overline{Q}_{i} \widetilde{\Phi} u_{j} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} y_{ij}^{d} \left(\frac{S}{\Lambda}\right)^{n_{ij}^{d}} \overline{Q}_{i} \Phi d_{j} + \mathrm{h.c.}$$

potential

$$\mathscr{V}_{\mathcal{S}} = -\mu_{\mathcal{S}}^2 |\mathcal{S}|^2 + \lambda_{\mathcal{S}} |\mathcal{S}|^4 + \lambda_{\mathcal{S}\Phi} |\mathcal{S}|^2 |\Phi|^2 + U(1)_{FN} \text{ breaking terms}$$

► VEV at 
$$T = 0$$
  
 $S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_S + \sigma + i\rho)$   
 $\bowtie$  effective potential  $\alpha = \gamma \frac{\partial T_Y}{\partial \varepsilon} \sim 10^{-2}$   
 $\mathscr{V}_{eff}(\sigma, T) = \gamma T_Y T^4 + \alpha T^4 \frac{\sigma}{\Lambda} + \frac{m_{\sigma}^2(T)}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{\kappa}{3!} \sigma^3 + \frac{\lambda_S}{4} \sigma^4 + \dots$ 

#### Flavon dynamics

the flavon gets driven away from its T = 0 minimum until it gets stopped by the mass term or Hubble friction

$$\Delta \sigma \simeq -\alpha \frac{T^4}{\Lambda m_{\text{eff}}^2}$$
 where  $m_{\text{eff}}^2 = 6H^2 + m_{\sigma}^2$ 

#### Flavon dynamics

the flavon gets driven away from its T = 0 minimum until it gets stopped by the mass term or Hubble friction

$$\Delta \sigma \simeq -\alpha \frac{T^4}{\Lambda m_{\text{eff}}^2}$$
 where  $m_{\text{eff}}^2 = 6H^2 + m_{\sigma}^2$ 

as the temperature decreases, the flavon undergoes oscillations around the T = 0 minimum, which behave like nonrelativistic matter

Moduli at finite temperature

Constraints on flavons

#### Thermal moduli potential (cartoon)


Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons


Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons



Constraints on flavons

















#### Constraints on flavons





#### **BBN** constraints



#### **BBN** constraints



# symmetry-enhanced points? shumetry-enhanced points: <sup>at</sup> Moduli trapping Modnli trapping

#### Moduli trapping at symmetry-enhanced points?

it has been argued that moduli may get trapped at symmetry-enhanced points Kofman, Linde, Liu, Maloney, McAllister, and Silverstein (2004)

#### Moduli trapping at symmetry-enhanced points?

- it has been argued that moduli may get trapped at symmetry-enhanced points Kofman, Linde, Liu, Maloney, McAllister, and Silverstein (2004)
- this mechanism may conceivably prevent the moduli from deviating from their T = 0 minimum during inflation

#### Moduli trapping at symmetry-enhanced points?

- it has been argued that moduli may get trapped at symmetry-enhanced points Kofman, Linde, Liu, Maloney, McAllister, and Silverstein (2004)
- this mechanism may conceivably prevent the moduli from deviating from their T = 0 minimum during inflation
- however, thermal effects will always drive the moduli that determine gauge and Yukawa couplings away from their T = 0 minima at high T

#### Moduli trapping at symmetry-enhanced points?

- it has been argued that moduli may get trapped at symmetry-enhanced points Kofman, Linde, Liu, Maloney, McAllister, and Silverstein (2004)
- this mechanism may conceivably prevent the moduli from deviating from their T = 0 minimum during inflation
- Now however, thermal effects will always drive the moduli that determine gauge and Yukawa couplings away from their T = 0 minima at high T

#### bottom-line:

moduli problems more severe than generally appreciated

moduli potential receives temperature-dependent corrections

 $\Delta \mathscr{V} = \mathscr{F}(g(s), y(S))$ 

moduli potential receives temperature-dependent corrections

 $\Delta \mathscr{V} = \mathscr{F}(g(s), y(S))$ 

solution bound on reheating temperature in standard mechanisms of dilaton fixing:  $T_R \lesssim \sqrt{m_S M_P}$ 

moduli potential receives temperature-dependent corrections

 $\Delta \mathscr{V} = \mathscr{F}(g(s), y(S))$ 

- solution bound on reheating temperature in standard mechanisms of dilaton fixing:  $T_R \lesssim \sqrt{m_S M_P}$
- cosmological constraints on models with "light" flavons

moduli potential receives temperature-dependent corrections

 $\Delta \mathscr{V} = \mathscr{F}(g(s), y(S))$ 

- solution bound on reheating temperature in standard mechanisms of dilaton fixing:  $T_R \lesssim \sqrt{m_S M_P}$
- cosmological constraints on models with "light" flavons
- moduli problem more severe than generally appreciated

Thanks a lot!

#### References I

Wilfried Buchmüller, Koichi Hamaguchi, Oleg Lebedev, and Michael Ratz. Dilaton destabilization at high temperature. *Nucl. Phys.*, B699: 292–308, 2004.

- G. D. Coughlan, W. Fischler, Edward W. Kolb, S. Raby, and Graham G. Ross. Cosmological Problems for the Polonyi Potential. *Phys. Lett.*, B131:59, 1983. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(83)91091-2.
- B. de Carlos, J.A. Casas, F. Quevedo, and E. Roulet. Model independent properties and cosmological implications of the dilaton and moduli sectors of 4-d strings. *Phys. Lett.*, B318:447–456, 1993. doi: 10.1016/0370-2693(93)91538-X.
- C.D. Froggatt and Holger Bech Nielsen. Hierarchy of Quark Masses, Cabibbo Angles and CP Violation. *Nucl. Phys.*, B147:277, 1979. doi: 10.1016/0550-3213(79)90316-X.
- Renata Kallosh and Andrei D. Linde. Landscape, the scale of SUSY breaking, and inflation. *JHEP*, 0412:004, 2004. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2004/12/004.
**References II** 

Gordon Kane and Martin Wolfgang Winkler. Deriving the Inflaton in Compactified M-theory with a De Sitter Vacuum. 2019.

Lev Kofman, Andrei D. Linde, Xiao Liu, Alexander Maloney, Liam McAllister, and Eva Silverstein. Beauty is attractive: Moduli trapping at enhanced symmetry points. *JHEP*, 05:030, 2004. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/030.

Benjamin Lillard, Michael Ratz, M. P. Tait, Tim, and Sebastian Trojanowski. The Flavor of Cosmology. *JCAP*, 1807(07):056, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2018/07/056.