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## M82 galaxy



## M82: Nearest Star Burst Galaxy



Just after the collision with M81
Composite of X-ray, IR, and optical emissions NASA / CXC / JHU / D. Strickland; optical: NASA / ESA / STScI / AURA/ Hubble Heritage Team; IR: NASA / JPL-Caltech /Univ. of AZ / C. Engelbracht; inset - NASA / CXC / Tsinghua University / H. Feng et al.

## Arrival Direction Map (Auger/TA)



## TA Hot Spot: UHECRs from M82?

He, Kusenko, Nagataki + PRD 2016.


The most likely Source Position As a Result of Our Analysis. With 1,2,3-sigma Errors.

M82 is very Close from the most likely Source Position!
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Formation of extragalactic jets from black hole accretion disk


Wake at the bow of the Alfven Pulse

## Eruption of magnetic field in an accretion disk



Formation of extragalactic jets from black hole accretion disk

## Bow wake acceleration



One of the wake field acceleration, which takes place when $a_{0} \gg 1$

## Acceleration by

 pondermotive force at "bow wake"$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\max }=z \int_{0}^{D_{3}} F_{\mathrm{pm}} d D \\
& F_{\mathrm{pm}}=\Gamma m_{\mathrm{e}} c a_{0} \omega_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

## comic ray acceleration and gamma-ray emission



$$
L_{\mathrm{tot}}=1.3 \times 10^{38} \mathrm{~m} \dot{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

## Energy Flow and Spectra

## wakefield

## protons

## electrons

## cosmic rays 1:1 gamma rays

## UHECRs 0.1:1

## Nine nearby Fermi AGNs

| Counterpart name | LII | BII | Class | Redshift | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flux } 1 \mathrm{GeV}-100 \mathrm{GeV} \\ & \quad\left(\mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Spectral index | Radio ux(mJy) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { X Flux } \\ & \left.\mathrm{rg} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGC 0253 | 97.39 | -87.97 | Starburst galaxy | 0.001 | (6.2+/-1.2) e-10 | 2.313 | 2994 | $6.02 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| NGC 1068 | 172.1 | -51.94 | Seyfert galaxy | 0.00419 | (5.1+/-1.1) e-10 | 2.146 | 4849 | $4.55 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| For A | 240.15 | -56.7 | Radio Galaxy | 0.005 | (5.3+/-1.2) e-10 | 2.158 | 255 | $2.38 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| M 82 | 141.41 | 40.56 | Starburst galaxy | 0.001236 | (10.2+/-1.3) e-10 | 2.28 | 6205 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| M 87 | 283.78 | 74.48 | Radio Galaxy | 0.0036 | (17.3+/-1.8) e-10 | 2.174 | 138488 | $6.30 \mathrm{E}-11$ |
| Cen A Core | 309.51 | 19.41 | Radio Galaxy | 0.00183 | (30.3+/-2.4) e-10 | 2.763 | 42000 | $9.00 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| NGC 4945 | 305.27 | 13.33 | Seyfert galaxy | 0.002 | (7.5+/-1.7) e-10 | 2.103 | 5776 | $2.36 \mathrm{E}-12$ |
| Cen B | 309.72 | 1.72 | Radio Galaxy | 0.012916 | (18.6+/-3.5) e-10 | 2.325 | 8890 | 8.83E-12 |
| NGC 6814 | 29.35 | -16.02 | Seyfert galaxy | Onal JEMOESSo |  | 2.544 | 52 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-11$ |

## Fermi gamma-ray galaxies (Nearby)



Ebisuzaki and Tajima 2014, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics, 223, 1113-1120.

- Seyfert Galaxy
son Starburst Galaxy


## M82 X-1 is promising

- $F_{\gamma \mathrm{M} 82}=10.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \rightarrow$

$$
L_{\gamma \mathrm{M} 82}=1.3 \times 10^{42} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

- $1 \%$ of M82 total $\leftarrow$ M82 X-1

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\text {UHECR M82X }-1} & =1.3 \times 10^{39} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\qquad \frac{L_{\text {UHECR }}}{L_{\gamma}} & =0.1 \\
F_{\text {UHECR M82X }-1} & \sim 3 \text { UHECRs } / 100 \mathrm{~km}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& \sim F_{\text {HotSpot }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Astrophysical Implication

- Hot spot component came from M82
- too near for GZK ( $\mathrm{D}=3.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$ )
- mainly proton
- How about magnetic deflection?
- We need $B \sim 10 \mathrm{nG}$ for $D=3.2 \mathrm{Mpc}$
- $\theta=0.5^{\circ}\left(\frac{D}{\mathrm{Mpc}}\right)\left(\frac{B}{\mathrm{nG}}\right) \sim 17.4^{\circ}$
- $\Delta \theta=0.36\left(\frac{D}{\mathrm{Mpc}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{D_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{Mpc}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{B_{\mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{nG}}\right) \sim 9.4^{\circ}$
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## UHECR propagation among the cosmological web (1)

Ryu et al. 2010 ApJ, 710, 1422



We are living on a filament of the cosmological web!

## UHECR propagation among cosmological magnetic web (2)

- Huge variation $\sim 1-100^{\circ}$
- Strongly depends on the source location and the path
- Average $\sim 10^{\circ}$ at 3 Mpc
- $\epsilon_{\mathrm{B}}=\phi\left(\frac{t}{t_{\mathrm{eddy}}}\right) \epsilon_{\mathrm{turb}}$
- $t_{\text {eddy }}$ and $\epsilon_{\text {turb }}$ : simulation
- $\phi$ : different simulations with fine meshes


Ryu et al. 2010 ApJ, 710, 1422

## How about Cen A and M87/Vir A ?

- Cen A
$-D=4.3 \mathrm{Mpc} \geq D_{\mathrm{M} 87}=3.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$
- In the filaments
$-\theta, \Delta \theta \sim 10-20$ degree
- CNO rich?
$=$ WR stars in the jets
- M87/Vir A
$-D=18 \mathrm{Mpc} \gg D_{\mathrm{M} 87}=3.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$
- In the filaments

Virgo centric inflow
$-\theta, \Delta \theta \sim 60$ degree
$\rightarrow$ diffuse source along SGP


## Conclusions

- M82: the nearest starburst galaxy
- M82 X-1: Intermediate Mass Blackholes (10²-104 Ms)
=possible origin of northern hot spot
- Bow Wake Acceleration
- Accreting BH+disk+jet
= Astronomical Linear accelerator
- Bursts of Intense Alfven waves $\leftarrow$ Laser
- Jet $\leftarrow$ wave guide
- Bending by magnetic field
- B~10nG in the cosmic filaments of local supercluster
- Study of supercluster magnetic field


## Back up

# Background Component: Numerous number of Distant Sources 

Ebisuzaki and Tajima 2014

- Distant Blazers
- Local gamma-ray Luminosity of blazers:

$$
l_{\gamma}=10^{37}-10^{38} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3}
$$

$\rightarrow \Phi_{\text {UHECR }} \sim 0.1$ particles/(100 $\left.\mathrm{km}^{2} \mathrm{yr} \mathrm{sr}\right)$
GZK (if mainly protons)
$\rightarrow \Phi_{\mathrm{UHEv}} \sim 5$ particles/( $100 \mathrm{~km}^{2} \mathrm{yr} \mathrm{sr}$ )
for $E_{\text {UHEv }}>10^{20} \mathrm{eV}$

## 3-D relativistic MHD simulation



## Neutrino and gamma ray flux



Taken from Anchordoqui et and Yacobi et al. 2016, Ap. J., 823, 89,7 modified by TE

## Radio/X-ray nots in Cen X-1 Jets

Hardcastle et al. 2003, ApJ 903 160-183


Wolf-Rayet Stars in the Jets? effective CNO supply? ()

## 2MASS galaxy distribution



IPAC/Caltech, by Thomas Jarrett - "Large Scale Structure in the Local Universe:
The 2MASS Galaxy Catalog", Jarrett, T.H. 2004, PASA, 21, 396

# An AGN-like Jet in M87? X-ray/Radio (flare in 1981) 

Xu et al. 2015 ApJ Letters 799, L28


## UHECR emission: Isotropic or Beaming?

- Radio galaxies: Angle to Line of sight $\theta>10-$ $20^{\circ}$
- M87 $43^{\circ}$
- Cen A $50-80^{\circ}$
- Blazers: $\theta<10^{\circ}$
- No information for M82 X-1
- Single jet?
- UHECR beam may suffer from
the from the local magnetic field


Jet

$R / R_{g}$

## Light Curves



## Accretion Disk around a BH



## Energy Spectra



International JEM-EUSO Meeting June 19,

## Ground Based Observatories

Auger

1600 surface detectors


EUSO Mé 017

507 surface detectors 700 km²


## Fermi mechanism requires bending $\rightarrow$ synchrotron loss



# Difficulties of Fermi acceleration in UHECR 

1. Bending is inevitable
$\rightarrow$ synchrotron loss
2. Confinement is difficult
$\rightarrow$ no acceleration

## Theoretical Upper limit of Fermi mech. $<10^{20} \mathrm{eV}$



A.G.N. | Gas Disk in Nucleus of |
| :---: |
| Active Galaxy M87 |



Radio Galaxy Lobe

## Difficulties of Fermi acceleration in UHECR

1. Bending is inevitable
$\rightarrow$ synchrotron loss
2. Confinement is difficult
$\rightarrow$ no acceleration
3. Escape problem
$\rightarrow$ magnetic field does not disappear without adiabatic loss

Wakefield acceleration

## Wake of a ship



## Laser Wakefield



## Particle acceleration

T. Tajima and J. M. Dawson (1979)

FIG. 2. (Color) Plasma density perturbation excited by Gaussian laser pulse with $a_{0}=1.5$, $k_{0} / k_{p}=20, k_{p} L_{\text {rms }}=1$, and $k_{p} r_{0}=8$. Laser pulse is traveling to the left.

## Electron bunch <br> by a single shot of laser beam



Leemans et al. (2006) Nature Physics, 2, 696.
Nakamura et al. (2007) Phys. Plasma, 14, 056078

## 1D Particle-in-Cell simulation

with the code by Nagata2008


Figure 3 from Spectral Properties of Bright Fermi-Detected Blazars in the Gamma-Ray Band A. A. Abdo et al. 2010 ApJ 7101271 doi:10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/1271



## Conditions for UHECRs



$$
L_{\text {tot }}=1.3 \times 10^{38} m \dot{m} \operatorname{erg~s}^{-1} \text { Euso meeting }
$$

## How about neutrinos?

Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuz'min Process
Greisen1966; Zatsepin and Kuz'min1966


## Relativistic coherence

- Extremely relativistic
$\rightarrow$ freezing-out



## Origin of Cosmic rays




